

Cruising Yacht Club of Australia
LAND ROVER WINTER SERIES 2016

Protest Hearing
Celestial/6952 Vs Triton/6377

Triton's Request to Reopen

FACTS FOUND

1. TRITON gave no reason to suggest she was unavoidably absent from the protest hearing under rule 63.3.(b).
2. TRITON's request to reopen was lodged outside the 24 hour period required in rule 66.
3. TRITON presented no new evidence that was not available at the time of the original hearing, rule 66.
4. The protest committee did not make a significant error, rule 66.
5. The club acted in accordance with rule 63.2 and Sailing Instruction 29.3 in notifying the parties to the protest.

CONCLUSION

TRITON's request to reopen the protest hearing, CELESTIAL vs TRITON, does not comply with rules 63.2 & 66.

RULES APPLICABLE

Rules 63.2, 66 & Sailing Instruction 29.3.

DECISION

TRITON's request to reopen is denied

Steve Hatch

Chairman

26th May 2016.

Protest Committee: Karl Garavan, Steve Hatch, Lister Hughes.